Transmembrane domain *Nrg1* mutant mice show altered susceptibility to the neurobehavioural actions of repeated THC exposure in adolescence
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Abstract

Heavy cannabis abuse increases the risk of developing schizophrenia. Adolescents appear particularly vulnerable to the development of psychosis-like symptoms after cannabis use. To test whether the schizophrenia candidate gene neuregulin 1 (*NRG1*) modulates the effects of cannabinoids in adolescence, we tested male adolescent heterozygous transmembrane domain *Nrg1* mutant (*Nrg1* TM HET) mice and wild type-like littermates (WT) for their neurobehavioural response to repeated Δ⁹-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC, 10 mg/kg i.p. for 21 d starting on post-natal day 31). During treatment and 48 h after treatment withdrawal, we assessed several behavioural parameters relevant to schizophrenia. After behavioural testing we measured autoradiographic CB₁, 5-HT₂A and NMDA receptor binding. The hyperlocomotor phenotype typical of *Nrg1* mutants emerged after drug withdrawal and was more pronounced in vehicle than THC-treated *Nrg1* TM HET mice. All mice were equally sensitive to THC-induced suppression of locomotion. However, mutant mice appeared protected against inhibiting effects of repeated THC on investigative social behaviours. Neither THC nor *Nrg1* genotype altered prepulse inhibition. Repeated adolescent THC promoted differential effects on CB₁ and 5-HT₂A receptor binding in the substantia nigra and insular cortex respectively, decreasing binding in WT while increasing it in *Nrg1* TM HET mice. THC also selectively affected 5-HT₂A receptor binding in several other regions in WT mice, whereas NMDA receptor binding was only affected in mutant mice. Overall, *Nrg1* mutation does not appear to increase the induction of psychotomimetic symptoms by repeated adolescent THC exposure but may attenuate some of its actions on social behaviour and schizophrenia-relevant neurotransmitter receptor profiles.
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Introduction

The ‘two-hit’ hypothesis of schizophrenia suggests that genetic and environmental risk factors interact to produce the disorder (Bayer et al. 1999). Onset of symptoms is usually during adolescence or early adulthood, periods of major change in brain morphology and neural connectivity and thus increased vulnerability to insult (Paus et al. 2008). Perturbation to normal brain maturation during the transition from adolescence to adulthood, for example, by drug abuse, might contribute to exacerbation or precipitation of schizophrenia onset. Cannabis abuse is linked with a moderate increase in the risk of developing schizophrenia (Moore et al. 2007). Interestingly, individuals predisposed to schizophrenia are more vulnerable to adverse effects of cannabis (i.e. hallucinations,
confusion and learning and memory deficits; d’Souza et al. 2005; Peters et al. 2009). One mediator of this increased vulnerability appears to be the schizophrenia candidate gene catechol-O-methyltransferase (Cassi et al. 2005). Further research has to clarify whether other candidate genes have similar properties.

Neuregulin 1 (NRG1) is a proposed schizophrenia susceptibility gene (Stefansson et al. 2002; for review and meta-analysis respectively, see Harrison & Law, 2006; Munafò et al. 2006; but also see Sanders et al. 2008). One of several transgenic mouse models available for Nrg1 (Duffy et al. 2008) contains a heterozygous mutation in the transmembrane domain (Nrg1 TM HET). Nrg1 TM HET mice show face and partial predictive validity for schizophrenia, exhibiting age-dependent locomotor and exploratory hyperactivity (Karl et al. 2007; onset at age 5 months; reversible by clozapine treatment; Stefansson et al. 2002) and impaired preference for social novelty (O’Tuathaigh et al. 2007). We have shown that male Nrg1 TM HET mice possess increased sensitivity to neurobeavioural effects of acute Δ⁹-tetrahydrocannabinol [THC; i.e. enhancement of prepulse inhibition (PPI), hypolocomotive and anxiogenic effects, selective increases in c-Fos expression; Boucher et al. 2007a, b]. This phenomenon is sex-specific, since female Nrg1 TM HET mice do not show increased behavioural sensitivity to acute THC treatment (Long et al. 2010a). The role of Nrg1 in the response to cannabinoid agonists is further exemplified by altered rates of tolerance in adult Nrg1 TM HET mice to the locomotor and anxiogenic effects of the synthetic cannabinoid CP 55940 (Boucher et al. 2011). Based on our findings, Nrg1 might be another genetic mediator of increased vulnerability to cannabis-induced psychosis.

Importantly, earlier age of onset of cannabis use appears to confer increased susceptibility to detrimental effects in adulthood, such as cognitive deficits (Ehrenreich et al. 1999; Pope et al. 2003), and may also precipitate earlier onset of schizophrenia (Large et al. 2011). Rodent studies also suggest that cognitive deficits are induced by chronic THC exposure during adolescence, but not adulthood (Quinn et al. 2008; Rubino et al. 2009a, b). Such early cannabinoid exposure may have long-lasting behavioural and neurobiological consequences (Realini et al. 2009) and there is evidence that both genetic and age-associated factors might play a role in the link between cannabis use and psychosis. Therefore, we aimed to determine the effects of acute and chronic adolescent THC on behaviour and receptor binding density in the Nrg1 TM HET mouse. As these mice exhibit an age-dependent phenotype, we hypothesized that male adolescent Nrg1 TM HET mice, like adults (Boucher et al. 2007a), would be more sensitive to the behavioural effects of THC in a battery of tests relevant to schizophrenia, resulting in earlier onset of schizophrenia-relevant behaviours and a more severe phenotype. We expected that these behavioural changes would be accompanied by alterations in CB₁, 5-HT₁A and NMDA receptors (NMDARs). These receptors are relevant to the pharmacological effects of cannabis and the pathophysiology of schizophrenia (Dalton et al. 2011; Kang et al. 2009; Matsumoto et al. 2005; Zavitsanou et al. 2002, 2004) and levels and/or activation of which are altered in adult Nrg1 TM HET mice (Bjarnadóttir et al. 2007; Dean et al. 2008; van den Buuse et al. 2009).

Method

Animals

Male heterozygous Nrg1 +/− (Nrg1 TM HET) and wild type-like control Nrg1 +/+ (WT) littermates (Karl et al. 2007) from 14 litters were used. To target adolescence, the study commenced at post-natal day (PND) 31 (± 2; Spear, 2004). Standard social interaction (SI) opponents were age-matched male A/JArc mice (Animal Resources Centre, Australia). Mice were pair-housed with limited environmental enrichment [certified polycarbonate mouse igloo (Bioserv, USA) and a metal ring in the cage lid] under a 12 h light/dark schedule (lights on 08:30 hours). Food and water were available ad libitum. Research and animal care procedures were approved by the University of New South Wales Animal Care and Ethics Committee and were in accordance with the Australian Code of Practice for the Care and Use of Animals for Scientific Purposes.

Drug treatment

THC (THC Pharm GmbH, Germany) was suspended in a 1:1:18 mixture of ethanol:Tween 80 : saline and injected at a volume of 10 ml/kg. Mice received 21 consecutive daily i.p. injections of vehicle or THC (10 mg/kg; n = 11–16; Long et al. 2010b).

Behavioural testing

Mice were behaviourally tested as outlined in Table 1. Devices were cleaned between trials with 70% ethanol.

Body temperature

Mice were assessed for hypothermia (Compton et al. 1993). Body temperature was measured 5 min before
and 30 min after injection using a lubricated rectal thermometer (SDR Clinical Technology, Australia).

**Spontaneous locomotor activity**

Locomotor activity was measured in an open field (OF) activity chamber (41 cm × 41 cm; Tru-Scan Photo Beam Activity System; Coulbourn Instruments, USA) for 10 min. Horizontal (distance travelled) and vertical activity (rearing) in central and peripheral zones was measured by the Tru-Scan system and ANY-maze™ video tracking software (Stoelting Co., USA). The ratio of central:total distance travelled (distance ratio) and time spent in the centre were taken as measures of anxiety (Denenberg, 1969).

**Light–dark test (LD)**

Mice were placed into the opening of a dark box insert (Coulbourn Instruments) in the OF activity chamber and allowed to explore freely for 10 min. The ratio of distance travelled in the light compartment to total distance travelled (distance ratio) and time spent in the light compartment were taken as measures of anxiety.

**Novel object recognition test (NORT)**

NORT apparatus was a grey Perspex arena (35 cm × 35 cm × 30 cm). Mice were habituated to the empty arena for 5 min twice daily for 2 d. The following day, mice were habituated to the test procedure (i.e. exposure to objects). The next day, mice were placed in the arena, which contained two identical objects placed in opposite corners, and allowed to explore freely (test trial 1). In test trial 2, 60 min later, the chamber contained one copy of these objects (familiar object) and one novel object, in the same positions as in test trial 1. Object exploration was scored for 5 min by the behaviours nosing (when the mouse directed its nose to an object at a distance of ≤1 cm) and rearing on the object.

**Social interaction**

SI between rodent pairs is used to measure anxiety-like behaviours (File & Seth, 2003). Reduction in SI models aspects of social withdrawal (WD), which also occurs in schizophrenia (Ellenbroek & Cools, 2000). Test mice and untreated A/JArc standard opponents were placed in opposite corners of the NORT arena and allowed to explore freely for 10 min. Frequency and duration of the active socio-positive behaviours general sniffing, anogenital sniffing, allogrooming, following and climbing over/under were scored (Boucher et al. 2007). Distance travelled was measured by ANY-maze™.

**Prepulse inhibition**

PPI, an operational measure of sensorimotor gating, is the attenuation of the startle response by a non-startling stimulus (prepulse) presented before the startling stimulus (pulse). PPI is impaired in schizophrenia patients (Braff et al. 2001). Startle reactivity was measured using SR-LAB startle chambers (San Diego Instruments, USA). Sensitivity of the piezoelectric accelerometer was adjusted for the lower body weight of the adolescent mice. The PPI test consisted of 5 min acclimatization to 70 dB background noise, followed by 105 trials presented in a pseudorandom order: 5 × 70 dB trials (background); 5 × 80 dB trials; 5 × 100 dB trials; 15 × 120 dB trials (startle) and 15 sets of five trials comprising a prepulse of 74, 82 or 86 dB presented 32, 64, 128, 256 or 512 ms (variable inter-stimulus interval) prior to a startling pulse of 120 dB (PPI response). The inter-trial interval varied randomly from 10–20 s. Acoustic startle response (ASR) was calculated as the mean amplitude to all startle trials. Percentage PPI (%PPI) was calculated as [(mean startle response (120 dB) – PPI response)/ mean startle response (120 dB)] × 100%. PPI was averaged across inter-stimulus intervals to produce a mean %PPI for each prepulse intensity.

**Receptor autoradiography**

A subset of mice (n = 4–5 per factor) were killed after PPI testing on WD day. Brains were dissected, snap

---

**Table 1. Test biography of mice**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test day</th>
<th>Postnatal day</th>
<th>Test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Body temperature, catalepsy, OF, LD, PPI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>OF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>NORT habituation trials 1–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>LD, NORT habituation trial 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>NORT habituation trials 4–5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>NORT test trials 1–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>Social interaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>PPI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>OF, LD, PPI (withdrawal day)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OF, Open field; LD, light–dark test; PPI, prepulse inhibition; NORT, novel object recognition test.
Mice were injected with either vehicle or Δ⁹-tetrahydrocannabinol (10 mg/kg body weight) once daily from test day 1 to day 21 (n = 11–16).
frozen and stored at −80 °C. Coronal sections (14 μm) were cut and thaw-mounted onto slides.

**Autoradiographic binding**

Ligand binding and quantification was performed as previously described. For CB₁ receptors (CB₁Rs) (Deng et al. 2007), sections were pre-incubated for 30 min in 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.4) containing 5% bovine serum albumin then incubated for 120 min in the same buffer containing 10 nM [³H]CP-55,940 (168 Ci/mmol; PerkinElmer, USA) in the presence (non-specific binding) or absence (total binding) of 10 μM CP 55,940.

After incubation, sections were washed three times in ice-cold buffer (1 × 60, 1 × 180 and 1 × 5 min), dipped in distilled water and air dried.

For 5-HT₂A receptors (5-HT₂AR), sections were pre-incubated in 170 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.4) for 15 min then incubated for 120 min in the same buffer containing 4 nM [³H]ketanserin (88 Ci/mmol; PerkinElmer) in the presence (non-specific binding) or absence (total binding) of 2 μM spiperone (Kang et al. 2009). After incubation, sections were washed in ice-cold buffer (2 × 10 min), dipped in distilled water and air dried.

For NMDARs, sections were incubated for 2.5 h in 30 mM Hapes buffer (pH 7.5) containing 100 μM glycine, 100 μM glutamate, 1 mM EDTA and 20nM [³H]MK-801 (17.1 Ci/mmol; PerkinElmer) in the presence (non-specific binding) or absence (total binding) of 20 μM MK-801 (Newell et al. 2007). After incubation, sections were washed in ice-cold 30 mM Hapes containing 1 mM EDTA (pH 7.5, 3 × 20 min), dipped in distilled water and air dried.

**Quantification**

Slides were exposed to Kodak BioMax MR film (Kodak, USA) for 3 months and developed. Films were analysed with a computer-assisted image analysis system, Multi-Analyst, connected to a GS-690 Imaging Densitometer (Bio-Rad, USA). Brain regions were identified with reference to a mouse brain atlas (Paxinos & Franklin, 2004). Quantification of binding in each region was performed by measuring the average density in each region in two to three adjacent sections for both hemispheres and comparing the values against an autoradiographic standard (GE Healthcare, UK) (Kang et al. 2009).

**Statistical analysis**

Data were analysed with analysis of variance (ANOVA; between-subjects factors: ‘treatment’ and ‘genotype’). For OF and PPI, repeated measures three-way ANOVA was used [within-subjects factor: ‘interval’ (OF) and ‘prepulse intensity’ (PPI)]. Linear contrasts identified differences between levels of prepulse intensity. For all analyses, initial ANOVA was followed by two- or one-way ANOVAs split by the corresponding factor(s) if appropriate. Main effects were regarded as statistically significant when p < 0.05. Degrees of freedom, F values and p values (* vs. WT; # vs. vehicle of corresponding genotype) are presented. Analysis was performed using SPSS 17.0 IBM, USA.

**Results**

At study commencement, WT and Nrg1 TM HET mice weighed 14.9 ± 3.0 and 13.7 ± 3.8 g respectively. Throughout the study there was no significant difference in body weight between any groups of mice.

**Body temperature**

THC reduced body temperature in WT and Nrg1 TM HET mice [thermic response (Δ C): 0.2 ± 0.3 (vehicle WT), −1.1 ± 0.7 (THC WT), 0.5 ± 0.7 (vehicle Nrg1 TM HET), −0.8 ± 0.4 (THC Nrg1 TM HET); two-way ANOVA for ‘treatment’: F₁,₄₄ = 5.9, p < 0.05].

**Locomotor activity**

THC decreased locomotion in the OF on day 1 in both WT and Nrg1 TM HET mice [thermic response (Δ C): 0.2 ± 0.3 (vehicle WT), −1.1 ± 0.7 (THC WT), 0.5 ± 0.7 (vehicle Nrg1 TM HET), −0.8 ± 0.4 (THC Nrg1 TM HET); two-way ANOVA for ‘treatment’: F₁,₄₄ = 5.9, p < 0.05]. This effect was no longer present on day 13. On WD day, Nrg1 TM HET mice in both treatment groups showed higher locomotor activity than WT controls (F₁,₃₁ = 6.7, p < 0.05). However, only THC-treated Nrg1 TM HETs displayed significantly lower locomotor activity compared to vehicle-treated mutants (F₁,₃₁ = 6.6, p < 0.05; Supplementary Table 1; Fig. 1a).

THC also decreased locomotor activity in the SI test on day 19 [distance travelled (cm): 2176.7 ± 91.6 (vehicle WT), 3078.4 ± 158.0 (vehicle Nrg1 TM HET), 911.8 ± 163.6 (THC WT); 1328.8 ± 120.1 (THC Nrg1 TM HET); Supplementary Table 1].

When distance travelled was measured in 5 min intervals within the OF test, there was an effect of time on locomotor activity such that distance travelled was decreased in the second 5 min of the test on all test days (day 1: F₁,₃₁ = 109.1, p < 0.001; day 13: F₁,₃₁ = 59.4, p < 0.001; WD day: F₁,₃₁ = 17.5, p < 0.001; Fig. 1c). THC-treated mutant mice of both genotypes showed greater habituation than vehicle-treated mice after acute and chronic administration (interval × treatment interaction, day 1: F₁,₃₁ = 9.3, p < 0.01; day 13 F₁,₃₁ = 26.7, p < 0.001).
**Exploratory activity**

THC reduced rearing in the OF in both genotypes on days 1 and 13 but not on WD day (e.g. day 1: $F_{1,53} = 49.4$, $p < 0.001$; Supplementary Table 1; Fig. 1b). Exploratory activity was higher in vehicle-treated Nrg1 TM HET mice than in their WT counterparts in the OF on day 13 ($F_{1,26} = 5.1$, $p < 0.05$; Supplementary Table 1; Fig. 1b).

**Anxiety**

Acute administration of THC on day 1 induced task-specific anxiogenic-like behaviour in the OF in WT mice only, which showed more pronounced reductions in the time spent in the centre ($F_{1,24} = 11.2$, $p < 0.001$) and the distance ratio ($F_{1,24} = 9.4$, $p < 0.01$) compared to Nrg1 TM HET mice (Supplementary Table 1; Fig. 2a,c). Chronic THC administration induced anxiogenic-like behaviour in the OF in both genotypes, as shown by the reduced OF distance ratio in WT and Nrg1 TM HET mice on day 13 ($F_{1,31} = 20.2$, $p < 0.001$; Supplementary Table 1). In contrast, in the LD, there were no effects of ‘treatment’ or ‘genotype’ on anxiety-related parameters (Fig. 2b,d).

**Learning and memory**

Unexpectedly, exploration times were generally low in the NORT (<15 s/5-min trial) and object recognition bias was not highly prevalent in control mice, although the same NORT protocol previously yielded high recognition scores in our laboratory. Thus, NORT data are not shown.

**Social interaction**

There were no effects of THC treatment or genotype on total time spent in SI (data not shown). However, when examining individually scored behaviours, THC reduced the frequency and duration of general sniffing,
the frequency of anogenital sniffing (e.g. anogenital sniffing: $F_{1,50} = 14.3$, $p < 0.001$; Supplementary Table 1; Fig. 3a,b) and the duration of allogrooming (data not shown). The effect of THC on the duration of general sniffing and frequency of anogenital sniffing was specific to WT mice and while the frequency of general sniffing was reduced by THC in both WT and $Nrg1$ TM HET mice, it was significantly higher in THC-treated $Nrg1$ TM HET mice ($F_{1,21} = 5.7$, $p < 0.05$) compared to THC-treated WT mice (Supplementary Table 1).

**ASR and PPI**

**ASR**

Chronic THC decreased the ASR in both WT and $Nrg1$ TM HET mice ($F_{1,31} = 19.5$, $p < 0.001$; Supplementary Table 2; Table 2).

**Prepulse inhibition**

PPI increased with increasing prepulse intensity on each test day (Supplementary Table 2; Fig. 4a–c).

Acute THC decreased PPI at the 74 dB prepulse intensity in $Nrg1$ TM HET mice only ($Nrg1$ TM HET 74 dB: $F_{1,21} = 4.8$, $p < 0.05$; Supplementary Table 2; Fig. 4a).

**$CB_1$, 5-HT$_2A$ and NMDAR receptor binding**

Figure 5 depicts representative autoradiograms for [$^3$H]CP 55,940, [$^3$H]ketanserin and [$^3$H]MK-801 binding in WT and $Nrg1$ TM HET mice. THC reduced [$^3$H]CP-55,940 $CB_1$R binding in the hippocampus (HPC) and ventromedial hypothalamus of WT and $Nrg1$ TM HET mice. In the substantia nigra, $CB_1$R binding was reduced in vehicle-treated $Nrg1$ TM HET mice compared to vehicle-treated WT littermates, while THC reduced $CB_1$R binding in WT mice but increased it in $Nrg1$ TM HET mice [treatment $\times$ genotype interaction: $F_{1,38} = 28.2$, $p < 0.001$; Supplementary Table 3; Fig. 6a]. There was a trend towards a reduction in $CB_1$R binding by THC in the external globus pallidus in both genotypes ($p = 0.06$).
HKetanserin 5-HT2AR binding was also genotype and treatment dependent. Specifically, vehicle-treated Nrg1TM HET mice showed reduced 5-HT2AR binding in the agranular insular and cingulate cortices but increased binding in the caudate putamen compared to WT controls. THC reduced 5-HT2AR binding in the anterior insula, cingulate cortex and ventral pallidum and increased binding in the caudate putamen of WT mice, but in Nrg1TM HET mice THC increased 5-HT2AR binding only in the anterior insula (F1,6 = 39.8, p < 0.001; Supplementary Table 3; Fig. 6b).

The effects of THC on [3H]MK-801 NMDAR binding were restricted to mutant mice, such that THC increased binding in the auditory cortex, cingulate cortex and hippocampus of Nrg1TM HET, but not WT mice (e.g. Nrg1TM HET in HPC: F1,5 = 15.0, p < 0.01; Supplementary Table 3; Fig. 6c).

Discussion

This study describes novel effects of adolescent THC on behaviour and receptor binding in a mouse model for the schizophrenia candidate gene NRG1. THC produced acute and chronic hypolocomotor and hypoexploratory responses in both Nrg1TM HET and WT mice, but only mutant mice showed residual hypolocomotion after THC WD. In contrast, mutant mice were less susceptible to other effects of THC, such as acute anxiogenic effects and reduction in investigative sniffing during SI elicited by repeated THC exposure. Repeated adolescent THC notably promoted differential effects on CB1R and 5-HT2AR density in the substantia nigra and insular cortex respectively, such that THC decreased binding density in WT but increased it in Nrg1TM HET mice. While repeated adolescent THC also affected 5-HT2AR density in WT mice in the ventral pallidum, caudate putamen and cingulate cortex, no such effects were observed in Nrg1TM HET mice. Interestingly, the opposite profile was evident for NMDAR binding: repeated adolescent THC increased binding density in the hippocampus and auditory and cingulate cortices in mutants only.

**Table 2.** Acoustic startle response

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>WT</th>
<th>Nrg1 TM HET</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Day</td>
<td>Vehicle THC 10</td>
<td>Vehicle THC 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>51.7±5.8</td>
<td>45.6±5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45.3±3.5</td>
<td>34.0±3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>73.2±5.7</td>
<td>46.8±4.3a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>57.0±6.5</td>
<td>39.5±2.4a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WD</td>
<td>69.0±6.2</td>
<td>87.2±11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>52.7±6.0</td>
<td>60.5±7.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Nrg1 TM HET, heterozygous transmembrane domain Nrg1 mutant; WT, wild-type littermate control; WD, withdrawal day.

Chronic △9-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC; 10 mg/kg) reduces the startle response (arbitrary units) to a 120 dB acoustic stimulus. Data represent means (±S.E.M.); n = 12–15.

[^p]p < 0.05; [a^p]p < 0.01 (vs. vehicle).

[^H]Ketanserin 5-HT2AR binding was also genotype and treatment dependent. Specifically, vehicle-treated Nrg1TM HET mice showed reduced 5-HT2AR binding in the agranular insular and cingulate cortices but increased binding in the caudate putamen compared to WT controls. THC reduced 5-HT2A binding in the anterior insula, cingulate cortex and ventral pallidum and increased binding in the caudate putamen of WT mice, but in Nrg1TM HET mice THC increased 5-HT2AR binding only in the anterior insula (F1,6 = 39.8, p < 0.001; Supplementary Table 3; Fig. 6b).

The effects of THC on [3H]MK-801 NMDAR binding were restricted to mutant mice, such that THC increased binding in the auditory cortex, cingulate cortex and hippocampus of Nrg1TM HET, but not WT mice (e.g. Nrg1TM HET in HPC: F1,5 = 15.0, p < 0.01; Supplementary Table 3; Fig. 6c).
the adolescent treatment procedure (i.e. stress of daily i.p. injections) might have contributed to the earlier phenotype onset (Kallnik et al. 2007). Repeated OF testing is unlikely to have contributed to the earlier onset as we have previously shown that locomotor activity is not altered by repeated exposure of Nrg1 mutant mice to the OF paradigm (Boucher et al. 2011; Karl et al. 2007).

Acute THC elicited the typical cannabinoid effects of hypothermia and hypolocomotion in WT mice, similarly to our previous studies (Boucher et al. 2007a; Long et al. 2010b). These effects, observed at around PND 31, may represent one of the earliest manifestations of activation of CB1Rs, which are suggested to be functionally immature until around PND 23, i.e. after weaning (Fride & Mechoulam, 1996). THC induced hypolocomotion in adolescents of both genotypes, which contrasts with the heightened susceptibility to THC-induced hypolocomotion of adult Nrg1 TM HET mice (Boucher et al. 2007a). It is possible that using a lower, less sedative dose of THC in the present study, such as 1 mg/kg, may have unmasked some subtle differences in locomotor effects of THC between genotypes at this younger age.

Adolescent mice developed an overall tolerance to THC-induced hypolocomotion in the OF after chronic exposure. While tolerance to cannabinoid agonist-induced hypolocomotion is common (Boucher et al. 2011; Howlett et al. 2004), adolescent rats have been reported to be less susceptible than adults to the development of tolerance (Wiley et al. 2007). Interestingly, in THC-treated mice of both genotypes, hypolocomotor tolerance was evident only in the first half of the 10 min OF test on day 13, suggesting that the rate of tolerance to some THC effects may interact with its effects on habituation to the test environment. On the other hand, residual hypolocomotion was present in THC-treated Nrg1 TM HET, but not WT mice in the OF and LD at WD. One possible interpretation for this phenomenon is that THC induced selective neurobiological alterations in Nrg1 TM HET mice, which diminished the emergence of the typical hyperactive phenotype of these mice.

There were no baseline genotype differences in generalized anxiety-like behaviour in the adolescent mice, confirming the age-dependency of phenotypic features of this Nrg1 mutant mouse model, since a task-specific, anxiety-related phenotype has been observed in adult Nrg1 TM HET mice (Karl et al. 2007). Acute THC induced anxiety-like effects taskspecifically in the OF, predominantly in WT mice, whereas chronic effects were detectable in all mice. The data suggest that adolescent WT and Nrg1 TM HET mice have similar responses to chronic, but not acute, effects of THC on anxiety measures and that the effects of repeated adolescent THC exposure are not long lasting. This also appears to be an age-dependent phenomenon, as adult Nrg1 mutants exhibited an increased sensitivity to acute THC (Boucher et al. 2007a).
Interestingly, adolescent Nrg1 TM HET mice were resistant to THC-induced suppression of investigative social behaviours. There were no baseline differences between vehicle-treated mutant and WT mice in the SI test, which intends to model both social anxiety and social WD. While acute THC reduced total SI to a similar extent in adult Nrg1 TM HET and WT mice (Boucher et al. 2007a), we now show that THC selectively reduced general sniffing and anogenital sniffing in adolescent WT mice. This selective reduction in investigative social behaviour cannot be explained by a locomotor suppressant effect of THC, since THC reduced locomotion to the same extent in both WT and Nrg1 TM HET mice. It is possible that adolescent Nrg1 TM HET mice undergo differential neurobehavioural adaptations to repeated THC that render them less susceptible to reductions in social behaviour induced by the drug – an effect worthy of consideration in light of the purported relief from negative symptoms experienced by cannabis users with schizophrenia (Smit et al. 2004).

There were no baseline genotype differences in startle response and PPI. Importantly, the PPI deficit of Nrg1 TM HET mice initially reported (Stefansson et al. 2002) has not been replicated reliably (Boucher et al. 2007a; van den Buuse et al. 2009; but also see Boucher et al. 2011; Karl et al. 2011). Acute THC treatment selectively reduced PPI at the lowest prepulse intensity in Nrg1 TM HET mice but did not alter the startle response, while chronic THC reduced the startle response in both genotypes but did not alter PPI during treatment or WD. These data suggest that THC has differential acute effects on sensorimotor gating in adult and adolescent Nrg1 TM HET mice, since we have previously found that a single THC exposure enhanced PPI in adult Nrg1 TM HET mice, while no
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5-HT₂A R binding was reduced in the anterior insular and cingulate cortices and increased in the caudate putamen of drug-free, adolescent Nrg1 TM HET mice. This is consistent with reduced 5-HT₂A R density in prefrontal and other cortical regions in schizophrenia (Kang et al. 2009; Matsumoto et al. 2005; Pralong et al.
2000). Given that our data are from mice in mid-adolescence, the findings are particularly relevant to observations in the early stages of schizophrenia in humans, such as reduction in cortical 5-HT$_{2A}$Rs in individuals at high risk for schizophrenia (Hurlemann et al. 2008) and in first-episode patients (Rasmussen et al. 2010), and increased subcortical 5-HT$_{2A}$Rs (Erritzoe et al. 2008) in first-episode patients. While this suggests that changes in 5-HT$_{2A}$Rs may be an early manifestation of schizophrenia neuropathophysiology, it is possible that genetic influences such as Nrg1 mutation may alter the development of the expression of this receptor, since we have previously observed a global increase in 5-HT$_{2A}$Rs in adult Nrg1 TM HET mice (Dean et al. 2008). The effects of THC on 5-HT$_{2A}$Rs, similar to CB$_{1}$Rs, also appear to be genotype-specific, such that THC reduced binding in the agranular insula and ventral pallidum in WT mice but increased or did not change it in mutants. Overall, our results suggest that Nrg1 modulates 5-HT$_{2A}$R binding density in brain regions relevant to schizophrenia and social anxiety (Furmark, 2009; Vertes, 2006; Wylie & Tregellas, 2010), which may subserve the differential patterns in THC effects on social anxiety in the present study.

We observed no baseline genotype differences in NMDAR binding. This is in line with a previous report of hypophosphorylation, but no change in total protein levels, of the NMDAR NR2B subunit in adult Nrg1 TM HET mice (Bjarnadottir et al. 2007). Meanwhile, NMDAR binding density was selectively increased in the hippocampus and auditory and cingulate cortices of THC-treated mutant mice. This may represent an adaptation to THC-induced changes in endocannabinoid control of synaptic transmission (Bodor et al. 2005; Brown et al. 2003; Hoffman et al. 2010). Since NMDAR antagonists generally induce hyperactivity, increased NMDAR density may also underlie the persistent hypolocomotion after THC WD in mutant mice.

Here, we add to the Nrg1 mutant mouse model literature by reporting adolescence-specific and genotype-dependent differences in the neurobehavioural response of these mice to THC. We hypothesized that adolescent Nrg1 TM HET mice would be more susceptible to the hypolocomotor, hyposocial and anxiogenic effects of THC. However, we found no difference in the sensitivity of mutant mice to locomotor reduction by THC and, in fact, mutants were less susceptible to THC-induced reduction in social behaviour and to induction of anxiety-like behaviour by acute THC. Furthermore, the changes in CB$_{1}$, 5-HT$_{2A}$ and NMDAR receptors in adolescent mutants that we observe are different to those previously found in adult mice, supporting the implications of our behavioural data that there are developmental differences in both the baseline phenotype of these mice and in their behavioural and neurochemical response to chronic cannabinoid agonist exposure. Overall, these findings are consistent with evidence for differential effects of THC between adolescents and adults and between ‘vulnerable’ (i.e. genetically modified) and ‘healthy’ brains.

Note

Supplementary material accompanies this paper on the Journal’s website (http://journals.cambridge.org/npn).
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