Effects of acute ethanol exposure on anxiety measures and epigenetic modifiers in the extended amygdala of adolescent rats
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Abstract

Epigenetic mechanisms appear to play an important role in neurodevelopment. We investigated the effects of acute ethanol exposure on anxiety measures and function of histone deacetylases (HDAC) and DNA methyltransferases (DNMT) in the amygdala and bed nucleus of stria terminalis (BNST) of adolescent rats. One hour after ethanol exposure, rats were subjected to anxiety measures. A subset of adolescent rats was exposed to two doses (24 h apart) of ethanol (2 g/kg) to measure rapid ethanol tolerance to anxiolysis. The HDAC and DNMT activities and mRNA levels of DNMT isoforms were measured in the amygdala and BNST. The lower dose of ethanol (1 g/kg) produced neither anxiolysis, nor inhibited the HDAC and DNMT activities in the amygdala and BNST, except DNMT activity in BNST was attenuated. Anxiolysis by ethanol was observed at 2 and 2.25 g/kg, whereas higher doses (2.5 and 3 g/kg) were found to be sedative. DNMT activity in the amygdala and BNST, and nuclear HDAC activity in the amygdala, but not in the BNST were also inhibited by these doses of ethanol. A lack of tolerance was observed on ethanol-induced inhibition of DNMT activity in the amygdala and BNST, and nuclear HDAC activity in the amygdala, as well to anxiolysis produced by ethanol (2 g/kg). The DNMT1, DNMT3a, and DNMT3b mRNA expression in the amygdala was not affected by either 1 or 2 doses of 2 g/kg. However, DNMT1 and DNMT3a expression in the BNST was increased, whereas DNMT3l mRNA was decreased in the amygdala, after 2 doses of 2 g/kg ethanol. These results suggest that reduced sensitivity to anxiolysis and the lack of rapid tolerance to the anxiolytic effects of ethanol and inhibition of HDAC and DNMT functions may play a role in engaging adolescents in binge drinking patterns.
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Introduction

Adolescent alcohol drinking is increasingly becoming a major health concern and appears to be responsible for the development of psychiatric disorders including substance abuse in adulthood (Grant et al., 2001; Miller et al., 2007; Brown et al., 2008; Squeglia et al., 2009). Several studies have shown differences in the effects of ethanol on neuronal function and behaviours between adolescents and adults (Little et al., 1996; Doremus et al., 2005; Maldonado-Devincci et al., 2010). Adolescent rats have been shown to be less sensitive to several behavioural effects of ethanol, such as sedation, motor impairment and anxiolysis (Little et al., 1996; Silveri and Spear, 1998; Varlinskaya and Spear, 2002; White et al., 2002) whereas they were found to be more sensitive to ethanol-disrupted spatial memory (Markwiese et al., 1998; Pyapali et al., 1999). In contrast, adult rats were found to be more sensitive to ethanol-induced spatial impairment as compared with adolescent rats (Rajendran and Spear, 2004). In addition, adolescent rats have been shown to be less sensitive to the anxiolytic effects of acute ethanol (0.25 to 1 g/kg) as compared to adult rats in the social behaviour test (Varlinskaya and Spear, 2002). The pharmacologically-validated elevated plus maze (EPM) and light/dark box (LDB) exploration tests have traditionally been used for the measurements of anxiety-like behaviours in rodents (Pellow et al., 1985; Lister, 1987; Oanaivi and Martin, 1989; Gao and Cutler, 1992; Langen et al., 2002; Kliethermes, 2005; Sakharkar et al., 2012). We previously demonstrated that a 1 g/kg dose of ethanol produces anxiolytic-like effects in adult rats, using the LDB and EPM exploration tests (Pandey et al., 2008; Sakharkar et al., 2012), however, it is unclear...
whether this or higher doses of acute ethanol produce anxiolysis in adolescents. In the present study, we investigated the effects of different doses of ethanol on anxiolysis in adolescent rats using the LDB and EPM exploration tests.

Three types of ethanol tolerance (acute, chronic and rapid tolerance) have been shown to develop in animal models (Hoffman and Tabakoff, 1989). While acute tolerance is explained by a reduced sensitivity during single ethanol exposure, chronic tolerance occurs after prolonged exposure to ethanol (Khanha et al., 1987, 1992). Rapid ethanol tolerance is demonstrated by the requirement of an increased dose of drug on the second exposure to observe a similar behavioural outcome as observed after the first exposure (Crabbe et al., 1979; Sakharkar et al., 2012). Acute tolerance to some of the effects of ethanol exposure such as motor impairment and social interaction has been shown, however, rapid tolerance to the hypnotic effects of ethanol has not been observed in adolescent rats (Silveri and Spear, 1999; Morales et al., 2011; Ramirez et al., 2011). Ethanol possesses strong anxiolytic properties and is a major contributing factor leading to the development and maintenance of alcoholism (Cooper et al., 1995; Kushner et al., 2000; Pandey et al., 2008; Moberg and Curtin, 2009; Moonat et al., 2011). In our previous study, we found the development of rapid tolerance to the anxiolytic effects of ethanol in adult rats, when measured using the LDB and EPM tests of anxiety (Sakharkar et al., 2012). Currently, the development of rapid tolerance to the anxiolytic effects of ethanol in adolescent rats is not well understood. In the current study, using the two-exposure (24 h apart) ethanol paradigm we examine whether adolescent rats develop rapid tolerance to the anxiolytic effects of ethanol.

Recent advances in the field of epigenetics show that early life experiences including alcohol exposure can alter gene expression patterns via impacting the chromatin altering machinery, culminating in abnormal neurodevelopment with impacts on both physical and mental health later in life (Witt, 2010; Murgatroyd and Spengler, 2011; Szyf, 2013). Studies from our laboratory and others have recently implicated epigenetic mechanisms, such as histone deacetylation (HDAC)-mediated histone deacetylation and DNA methyltransferase (DNMT)-mediated DNA methylation in neuroadaptative processes resulting from ethanol exposure (Pandey et al., 2008; Pascual et al., 2012; Sakharkar et al., 2012; Starkman et al., 2012; Moonat et al., 2013). Recently, it was shown that histone acetylation is altered in the prefrontal cortex after the chronic intermittent ethanol exposure of adolescent rats, the effects of which are likely to be regulated via changes in histone acetyltransferase (HAT) and HDAC activities (Pascual et al., 2012). We have previously shown that acute ethanol exposure inhibits HDAC activity in the amygdala of adult rats, and the degree of this inhibition is reduced after a second dose of ethanol, which is associated with the development of rapid tolerance to the anxiolytic effects of ethanol. Conversely, rapid tolerance to the anxiolytic effects of ethanol is prevented by treatment with the HDAC inhibitor, trichostatin A, in adult rats (Sakharkar et al., 2012). The amygdala and bed nucleus of stria terminalis (BNST), are crucial nuclei of the extended amygdala within the emotional circuitry of the brain in mediating fear and anxiety responses and have been implicated in the negative affective state of alcoholism (Koob et al., 1998; Koob, 2003; Pandey, 2004). We therefore also examined the effects of different doses of acute ethanol exposure and ethanol tolerance on the HDAC and DNMT activities in the amygdala and BNST of adolescent rats. In addition, we have also measured the mRNA levels of different isoforms of DNMTs i.e. DNMT1, DNMT3a, DNMT3b and DNMT3l in the amygdala and BNST after one and two exposures of ethanol.

Method

Animals and ethanol treatment

Adolescent male Sprague Dawley (SD) rats (postnatal days 31–35) were used in the present study. All procedures were conducted in accordance with the NIH guidelines for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Ethanol was diluted in n-saline (20% w/v), and was i.p. injected to achieve different doses of 1, 2, 2.25, 2.5, and 3 g/kg of body weight. One hour post-injection, rats were subjected to the measurements of anxiety-like behaviours during the light phase of their light/dark cycle using the light/dark box exploration (LDB) test, as described below. To examine if the adolescents develop the rapid ethanol tolerance (RET) to the anxiolytic effects of acute ethanol exposure, as observed in the adult male s.d. rats in our previous studies (Sakharkar et al., 2012), we employed a similar two-dose acute ethanol exposure paradigm as previously described (Sakharkar et al., 2012). On the first day, rats were i.p. injected with either n-saline or ethanol (2 g/kg) and were not subjected to behavioural measurements. On the following day (24 h after the first injection), n-saline treated rats were injected with either n-saline (control group) or ethanol (2 g/kg) (ethanol group), whereas ethanol-treated rats were injected with 2 g/kg doses of ethanol (tolerance group). One hour post-injection, rats were subjected to the measurements of anxiety-like behaviours by LDB and EPM tests.

Immediately after the behavioural measurements, animals were anesthetized and decapitated. The brains were taken out to dissect the amygdala and BNST tissues, which were quickly frozen and stored at −80 °C until used to measure HDAC and DNMT activities, as described below. Blood was obtained from all the rats injected with ethanol at the time of brain collections for
the measurement of ethanol levels using the Analox Alcohol Analyser (USA).

**Light/dark box exploration test**

The LDB exploration test for anxiety-like measurement was performed, as described previously by us (Pandey et al., 2008; Sakharkar et al., 2014). In brief, rats were acclimated to the test room and were placed into the dark compartment of the light/dark box. The computer monitored the activity of the rats in terms of time spent and the ambulation in each compartment by capturing the break of infrared beam sensors during the 5-min test session. The results are calculated as the mean per cent time (±S.E.Ms) spent in either the light or dark compartment. Total ambulation in the light and dark compartments were represented as the general activity of the rat.

**Elevated plus maze test**

The EPM test of anxiety measurement was employed as described previously by us and others (File, 1993; Pandey et al., 2008; Sakharkar et al., 2014). Rats were acclimated to the test room and placed on the central platform of the elevated plus maze. The number of entries and the time spent on open and closed arms of the plus maze were recorded for a 5-min test session. The results are calculated in terms of per cent entries (±S.E.Ms) and time (±S.E.Ms) spent on the open arms, whereas the number of closed arm entries (±S.E.Ms) is represented as general activity of rats.

**DNMT activity in the amygdala and BNST**

DNMT activity in the amygdala and BNST tissues was measured using the EpiQuik™ DNA methyltransferases activity/inhibition assay kit (Epigentek; USA), as described by us previously (Zhang et al., 2013). Nuclear fractions of the tissue lysates were prepared using a nuclear extraction kit (Sigma, USA). Ten microgram of nuclear protein was used for measuring the DNMT activity according to the manufacturer’s protocol and activity was assayed in terms of optical density (O.D.) using an ELISA plate reader at 450 nm (Dynex Technologies, USA). The results were calculated as O.D./mg protein and are represented as the mean±S.E.M.

**HDAC activity in the amygdala and BNST**

HDAC activity in the amygdala and BNST tissues was measured, as described by us previously (Pandey et al., 2008; Sakharkar et al., 2012, 2014). In brief, cytosolic and nuclear protein fractions were prepared using a nuclear extraction kit (Sigma) and HDAC activity (HDAC class I and II) was assayed using the colorimetric HDAC activity assay kit (BioVision Research, USA). The enzymatic activity was calculated as O.D./mg protein and then represented as the mean±S.E.M.

### Table 1. List of primers for the measurement of mRNA levels in the amygdala and BNST of the rat

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Forward Primer</th>
<th>Reverse Primer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G3PDH</td>
<td>AACAAGATGGTGAAACGCTCGGGTGA</td>
<td>AGCTTCCCATCTCCAGCCCTTGAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNMT1</td>
<td>AAGCCAGCTATGCGACTTGGAAC</td>
<td>ACA ACC GTTGCTTCTGAGTGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNMT3a</td>
<td>CACCTACAACAAGCAGCCCATGTA</td>
<td>AGGCCCTGAGTGTCACCTTTCATC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNMT3b</td>
<td>TGTGCAGATGCTATTGGTGAGGA</td>
<td>GCT TCCGCCAATCCAAGCTCAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNMT3l</td>
<td>CGAGGATGGACACCAGAGCTACA</td>
<td>AAGGCAGGCACAGAAGC AAA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Quantification of mRNA using real-time PCR**

Total mRNA was isolated using TRIZOL reagent (Life Technologies, USA) and amounts were determined by measuring optical densities. Total RNA (1 μg) was reverse transcribed using random hexamers and MMLV Reverse Transcriptase (Life Technologies, USA) in a final volume of 20 μl, according to manufacturer’s instructions. Quantitative real-time PCR was performed using Mx3000P QPCR System (Agilent Technologies, USA) with SYBR green master mix (Fermentas, USA). Data were analysed with MxPro software. Primers corresponding to selected mRNAs are shown in Table 1. The housekeeping gene, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (G3PDH) was used as an internal control for sample normalization. Target cDNAs (DNMT1, DNMT3a, DNMT3b, and DNMT3l) were analysed in duplicate for each measurement and the amounts of G3PDH were measured in parallel. PCR conditions included a 10 min 95°C hold followed by 40 cycles at 95°C for 30 s, 60°C for 1 min and 72°C for 1 min. Relative abundance was determined for each gene after normalization to G3PDH using the following equation: $2^{-\Delta \Delta Ct (target\ gene)/\Delta Ct (control\ gene)}$. Results are represented as fold change in the mRNA levels.

**Statistical analysis**

The group differences were evaluated for significance using a one-way ANOVA test. Tukey’s test was applied for post-hoc multiple comparisons and $p<0.05$ was considered to be significant.

**Results**

**Low anxiolysis sensitivity and lack of rapid tolerance to ethanol-induced anxiolysis**

We first examined the effects of low doses of ethanol on anxiolysis in adolescent rats using LDB (Fig. 1a) and EPM exploration tests (Fig. 1b). In the LDB test, no significant differences in the time spent in light and dark compartments were observed between rats treated with
n-saline (control) or ethanol (1 g/kg), suggesting that a lower dose of ethanol is not sufficient to produce anxiolysis in adolescent rats (Fig. 1a). However, 2.0 g/kg of ethanol produces anxiolytic-like effects, as seen by the significant \( p<0.001 \) increases in the per cent time spent in the light compartment, as compared to n-saline-treated rats (Fig. 1a). We have further confirmed the anxiolytic-like effects of 2 g/kg dose of ethanol using the EPM test. Similar to the LDB test, anxiolytic-like effects of a 2 g/kg dose of ethanol were observed in the EPM test (Fig. 1b). The per cent open arm entries and the time spent on the open arms by the ethanol-treated rats were significantly \( p<0.001 \) increased as compared to n-saline-treated rats (Fig. 1b).

We have previously shown development of RET to the anxiolytic effects of acute ethanol exposure (1 g/kg) occurring in adult male rats (Sakharkar et al., 2012). Therefore, in this study we treated rats with two consecutive anxiolytic doses of ethanol (2 g/kg 24 h apart) to examine if RET to the anxiolytic effects of ethanol develops during adolescence. We did not observe the development of RET to the anxiolytic effects of ethanol in the adolescents (Fig. 1a, b). Two doses of 2 g/kg ethanol (24 h apart) produced anxiolysis, similar to that of the single ethanol dose (2 g/kg) without modulating total ambulation (Fig. 1a, b). Adolescent rats treated with a 2 g/kg dose of ethanol for two days spent significantly more time in the light compartment and less time in the dark, as compared to n-saline controls, with no significant differences in the time spent in each compartment as compared to animals treated with single doses of ethanol (2 g/kg) (Fig. 1a). Similarly, in the EPM test, animals from the tolerance (2 g) group had a significantly higher percentage of open arm entries and also spent more time in the open arms as compared with n-saline controls (Fig. 1b). The closed arm entries in EPM and total ambulation in LDB of ethanol-treated rats did not significantly differ from n-saline-treated rats (Fig. 1a, b) showing no changes in the general activity of the rats. Blood ethanol levels (mg%) of the animals in various groups (mean±S.E.M; n=8–17) were 88.0±5.0 [EtOH (1 g)], 184.1±9.2 [EtOH (2 g)] and 177.6±5.5 [tolerance (2 g)]. These results suggest that a lower dose (1 g/kg) of ethanol was not able to produce anxiolysis in adolescence, while a moderate dose (2 g/kg) of ethanol was able to produce anxiolysis but not RET to the anxiolytic effects of ethanol.

**Effects of lower doses of ethanol on HDAC activity in amygdala and BNST**

HDAC activity was measured in nuclear and cytosolic protein fractions of both the amygdala (Fig. 2a) and BNST (Fig. 2b) of the adolescent rats treated with n-saline and ethanol at different doses i.e. 1, 2 and also 2 g/kg for 2 d (24 h apart). Nuclear HDAC activity in the amygdala was not inhibited after acute ethanol exposure at the dose of 1 g/kg, but was significantly inhibited by 2 g/kg ethanol exposure (Fig. 2a). In addition, the development of rapid tolerance at the ethanol-induced inhibition of nuclear HDAC activity was not observed with 2 doses of 2 g/kg ethanol exposure. This paradigm also produced a significant reduction in nuclear HDAC activity in the amygdala (Fig. 2a). Interestingly, none of the ethanol
treatment paradigms affected the cytosolic HDAC activity in the amygdala (Fig. 2a). Also, neither nuclear nor cytosolic HDAC activities in the BNST were affected by the ethanol exposure at different doses (1 or 2 g/kg), as compared to controls, suggesting that the effect of ethanol on HDAC activity in the amygdala may be brain region and isoform-specific (Fig. 2b). Taken together, these results indicate that a moderate, but not a lower, dose of ethanol was able to inhibit nuclear HDAC activity without producing cellular tolerance at nuclear HDACs in the amygdala.

Effects of lower doses of ethanol on DNMT activity in amygdala and BNST

The effects of lower doses of ethanol on DNMT activity in the nuclear protein fractions of amygdala (Fig. 3a) and BNST (Fig. 3b) were also examined. Similar to the HDAC activity, DNMT activity was also not affected in the amygdala of adolescent rats treated with 1 g/kg ethanol (Fig. 3a), but significantly inhibited by the 2 g/kg dose of ethanol compared to n-saline-treated adolescent rats (Fig. 3a). Interestingly, DNMT activity in the BNST was inhibited by both doses of ethanol (1 and 2 g/kg) as compared to n-saline controls (Fig. 3b). Moreover, two consecutive doses (24 h apart) of ethanol (2 g/kg) to adolescent rats [tolerance (2 g)] significantly attenuated the DNMT activity in both, the amygdala and BNST, as compared to the n-saline controls (Fig. 3a, b) indicating lack of rapid tolerance to ethanol-induced inhibition of DNMT activity in these brain circuitries of adolescent rats.

Effects of acute ethanol exposure on DNMT mRNA levels in amygdala and BNST

We have further examined the effects of one (EtOH 2 g/kg) and two doses of ethanol [tolerance (2 g)] on the expression of DNMT1, DNMT3a, DNMT3b and DNMT3l mRNA levels in the amygdala and BNST (Fig. 4a, b). Expression of DNMT1, 3a and 3b was not affected by single or double consecutive (24 h apart) ethanol exposure in the amygdala (Fig. 4a), while DNMT3l expression was decreased after two doses of ethanol [Tolerance (2 g)]. DNMT1 and DNMT3a mRNA levels were increased after the two doses of ethanol exposure (Fig. 4b) without producing any change in the mRNA levels of DNMT3b and DNMT3l in BNST. The mRNA levels of all DNMT isoforms were unaffected in BNST after single ethanol exposure.
Effects of higher doses of ethanol on anxiety measures

We next examined the effects of higher doses (2.25, 2.5 and 3.0 g/kg) of ethanol exposure on anxiolysis in adolescent rats using the LDB test. All higher doses of ethanol produced anxiolytic-like effects in adolescent rats (Fig. 5). No differences in the general activity of the rats treated with 2.25 g/kg of ethanol were observed in the LDB test as compared to n-saline controls (Fig. 5). However, an increase in the dose of ethanol to 2.5 and 3 g/kg was sedative in adolescent rats. The per cent time spent in the light compartment of LDB was significantly increased in rats treated with 2.5 and 3 g/kg doses of ethanol as compared to n-saline-treated rats (Fig. 5). Concomitantly, total ambulation was also significantly attenuated (Fig. 5) in these animals, which is indicative of reductions in general activity, most likely due to the sedative effects of higher doses (2.5 and 3 g/kg) of ethanol (Fig. 5). Blood ethanol levels (mg%) of the animals in various groups (mean±S.E.M; n=7–9) were 233.3±12.7 [EtOH (2.25 g)], 242.5±11.0 [EtOH (2.5 g)] and 333.6±10.6 [EtOH (3 g)].

Effects of higher doses of ethanol on HDAC and DNMT activities in amygdala and BNST

The effects of higher doses of ethanol on HDAC and DNMT activities in the amygdala and BNST were also examined. All higher doses of ethanol significantly inhibited the nuclear but not cytosolic HDAC activities in the amygdala of adolescent rats in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 6a). Interestingly, none of ethanol doses were able to alter the nuclear or cytosolic HDAC activities in BNST (Fig. 6b). In contrast, DNMT activity was significantly inhibited in the amygdala and BNST by all higher doses of ethanol investigated as compared to n-saline-treated adolescent rats (Fig. 7a, b). These results indicate that only nuclear HDACs in the amygdala and DNMTs in the amygdala and the BNST are sensitive to acute ethanol
demonstrated that this low dose of ethanol was sufficient to produce anxiolytic effects in adult rats (Pandey et al., 2008; Sakharkar et al., 2012). Similar observations were made by Varlinskaya and Spear (2002), using the social behaviour test of anxiety measurements. However, adolescent rats required higher doses of ethanol (2 and 2.25 g/kg) to exhibit anxiolysis without modulation in general activity. The present study also indicates that anxiolytic-like effects are produced by ethanol exposure at 2.5 and 3 g/kg doses in adolescent rats. Total ambulation of these rats was decreased as compared to controls, which indicates the dampening of general activity. The decrease in general activity of rats at the higher doses (≥2.5 g/kg) may be attributed to the sedative effects of ethanol. Because, we have seen significant reductions in total ambulation, caution should be exerted in the interpretation of anxiolytic-like effects of these doses of ethanol in adolescent rats. We therefore suggest that the 2 and 2.25 g/kg doses of ethanol are anxiolytic and non-sedative in the adolescent rats. These results indicate that higher doses of ethanol are required to produce anxiolytic-like effects in adolescent rats.

The development of rapid tolerance to acute ethanol effects on several behaviours such as sedation, anxiolysis and hypnotic effects in adults play an important role in the development of alcoholism (Hoffman and Tabakoff, 1989; Kalant, 1998; Sakharkar et al., 2012). It has been shown that adolescent rats are less sensitive to RET to the motor impairing effects of ethanol compared with adult rats (Silveri and Spear, 2001). Here, we examined the development of rapid tolerance to the anxiolytic-like effects of ethanol using a 2 g/kg dose and found that adolescent rats were not able to develop RET to anxiolysis produced by acute ethanol. On the other hand, development of rapid tolerance to the anxiolytic effects of acute ethanol was observed in adult rats (Sakharkar et al., 2012). Interestingly, adolescent rats also do not display anxiety-like behaviours during withdrawal after acute ethanol exposure but anxiety-like behaviours were observed in adult rats under a similar ethanol treatment paradigm (Doremus-Fitzwater and Spear, 2007). It has been shown that adolescent rats consume more ethanol than adult rats (Truxell et al., 2007; Vetter et al., 2007). Additionally, clinical and preclinical studies have shown that alcohol is able to facilitate social interactions in adolescents and this factor may promote drinking behaviours (Beck et al., 1993; Smith et al., 1995; Varlinskaya and Spear, 2002). The data presented here clearly suggest that failure to develop rapid tolerance to the anxiolytic effects of ethanol in conjunction with low sensitivity to anxiolysis in adolescent rats may play a permissive role in promoting heavy binge-drinking behaviour in adolescents. Clinical studies support this notion as it has been shown that anxiety sensitivity is a crucial factor in promoting heavy drinking in college students (Stewart et al., 2001; Lawyer et al., 2002). In addition, low sensitivity to alcohol and increased ‘hangover’ resistance may be involved in binge drinking and serve as predictor for the development of alcohol use disorders in adulthood (Schuckit, 1994; Piatecki et al., 2012).

In a series of studies we reported that HDACs serve as molecular targets within the epigenome in the
amygdaloid circuitry to modulate anxiety-like behaviours in rats (Pandey et al., 2008; Moonat et al., 2013; Sakharkar et al., 2014; You et al., 2014). We have previously observed ethanol’s ability to inhibit HDAC activity within amygdala associated with its anxiolytic effects and with the development of rapid tolerance in adult rats (Sakharkar et al., 2012). Here, we investigated a similar phenomenon in the developing amygdala and BNST and found that nuclear, but not cytosolic, HDAC activity was inhibited in the amygdala of adolescent rats at the anxiolytic and higher doses of ethanol (≥2 g/kg). However, neither cytosolic nor nuclear HDAC activity was inhibited in the BNST of adolescent rats. These results indicate that the perturbations in histone acetylation in the amygdala via HDAC inhibition secondary to ethanol exposure may be involved in its anxiolytic actions. Also, it was found that only nuclear HDACs are sensitive to ethanol-induced inhibition in the amygdala of adolescent rats. The lower dose of ethanol (1 g/kg) produces anxiolysis and inhibits HDAC activity in the amygdala of adult rats (Sakharkar et al., 2012); however this dose of ethanol is not effective in adolescent rats despite similar blood ethanol levels. Furthermore, HDAC activity was inhibited in the adolescent rats treated with single or double (24 h apart) exposure of ethanol (2 g/kg), which was associated with the anxiolysis, indicating the lack of development of rapid tolerance in adolescent rats. We have previously shown that HDAC inhibition was able to increase histone (H3-K9 & H4-K8) acetylation in the amygdala of adults, thereby further regulating the gene transcription leading to the behavioural effects of ethanol, such as anxiolysis and development of tolerance (Pandey et al., 2008; Sakharkar et al., 2012; Moonat et al., 2013). In adolescent rats, ethanol-induced HDAC inhibition may also be playing a similar role in the regulation of gene transcription underlying its anxiolytic actions and appears to be specific to the amygdala, and not the BNST.

DNA methylation has emerged as an important epigenetic mechanism in the regulation of gene expression (Feng and Fan, 2009). Three different isoforms of DNMTs, viz. DNMT1, 3a and 3b have been identified that regulate promoter DNA methylation and maintain the methylation status to either silence or facilitate gene expression (Goll and Bestor, 2005; Turek-Plewa and Jagodziński, 2005). Recently another DNMT isoform, DNMT3l, has been shown to be essential in the regulation of DNMT 3a and 3b catalytic activity in the brain and involved in the regulation of DNA methylation during development (Chédin, 2011; Arand et al., 2012).

![Fig. 6. The effects of higher doses of ethanol exposure on the nuclear and cytosolic HDAC activities in the amygdala (a) and BNST (b). Values are the mean±S.E.M of 5–9 for both amygdala and BNST. *Significantly different from the control group [p<0.01–0.001, ANOVA (F3,24=25.0, p<0.001 for nuclear HDAC activity in amygdala) followed by Tukey’s test].](image-url)
Here, we observed the inhibition of global DNMT activity by ethanol in both the amygdala and BNST. Although DNMT activity was not inhibited at the 1 g/kg dose in the amygdala, a comparable dose did inhibit the DNMT activity in the BNST, indicating ethanol's brain region-specific ability in the differential regulation of DNA methylation via DNMTs. The close association of DNMT inhibition with HDAC inhibition in the amygdala in tandem with the anxiolytic-like effects of ethanol suggests that interaction between DNA methylation and histone deacetylation may be dynamic and associated with the regulation of gene expression in the amygdala and implicated in the anxiolytic effects of acute ethanol. Interestingly, the first exposure of ethanol (2 g/kg) inhibits DNMT activity in the amygdala and BNST without modulating the expression of DNMT isoforms, suggesting ethanol-induced inhibition of catalytic activity of DNMTs. Although a down-regulation of DNMT3l levels was observed following two doses of ethanol, a single dose of 2 g/kg did not alter the expression of any of the DNMT isoforms in amygdala. Moreover, DNMT1 and 3a expression was increased in the BNST after two ethanol doses, with no significant change after a single ethanol dose (2 g/kg). These conflicting effects on DNMT expression and DNMT activity inhibition by ethanol in the amygdala and BNST warrants further investigation as to what role specific isoforms play in ethanol's actions in the adolescent brain. However, in another study we observed that the knockdown of one of the three DNMT isoforms i.e. DNMT1, DNMT3a and DNMT3b by siRNA treatment, leads to increases in other two isoforms (Kundakovic et al., 2009). Recently, we reported that DNMT activity and DNMT3a protein expression in cultured astrocytes was attenuated by ethanol (Zhang et al., 2013). LaPlant et al. (2010) found that DNMT3a expression was upregulated in the nucleus accumbens by chronic cocaine use and chronic social stress, suggesting an important role for DNMT3a in regulating emotional behaviour and spine plasticity. More recently, perinatal protracted ethanol exposure in Long-Evans rats increased DNMT activity, while divergently decreasing the DNMT1 and DNMT3a expression in the hippocampus (Perkins et al., 2013). In our studies, we observed a similar incongruity in the ability of ethanol to inhibit DNMT activity, with a reciprocal increase in DNMT1 and DNMT3a expression in the BNST of adolescent rats.

In conclusion, the present study pinpoints the reduced sensitivity and lack of development of tolerance to the anxiolytic effects of acute ethanol exposure in adolescent rats. We also observed that a higher dose of ethanol is required to inhibit HDAC and DNMT activity in the amygdala without development of rapid tolerance to these effects of ethanol. These findings stand in contrast to our previous study indicating that lower doses of ethanol produce anxiolysis and rapid tolerance in adult rats with corresponding effects on HDAC activity inhibition in the amygdala (Sakkarkar et al., 2012). Clinical studies suggest that anxiety sensitivity appears to be involved in promoting heavy drinking in adolescents (Stewart et al., 2001; Lawyer et al., 2002). The preclinical data collected here suggest the possibility that low sensitivity to ethanol-induced anxiolysis and inhibition of HDAC and DNMT activities in the amygdala in adolescence may be involved in promoting binge drinking. Further, detailed epigenetic mechanisms of gene regulation that involves the HDAC and DNMT in the amygdala and BNST are necessary during intermittent ethanol exposure in adolescent rats in order to fully understand this phenomenon.
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